

Javier Marzal

The Way to Change the World

(Part 1 of 2)



The decline of the industrial system

Along the Middle Ages, conspiracy hypothesis (conspiracy theory) that assigned a global power to some more or less secret organizations emerged. Many authors of the 19th and 20th centuries stated that the organization of Western societies was unjust and therefore unsustainable. In this regard, it often stands out Oswald Spengler's work "The Decline of the West", which was published in 1918 and 1923. For many people the title symbolizes the Western civilization's situation. Previously, during the 19th century, the three masters of suspicion: Marx, Freud and Nietzsche, criticized "a way of understanding the world that arrives to nowadays" (Wikipedia, 23rd of May, 2013), i.e., the conceptual basis of social organization and of our lives.

The suspicion was confirmed during the 20th century: two world wars, the Crash of 1929, the 1930s Great Depression and the creation of tax havens.

and more...

The biggest reaction against established order was the counterculture of the 1950s and its popular expansion along the 1960s that led to the emergence of alternative lives, rejection of war, environmentalism, sexual liberation, gender equality, etc. Actually, it led to the consolidation of some basis for a new consciousness that nowadays is a general subject of conversation, though with a diverse comprehension.

The counterculture also meant the discredit of socioeconomic and political ideologies for the avant-garde intellectuals who believed in its decease, as before the philosophical-religious ones had been discarded. During the Industrial Age, the mythical philosophical-religious view was replaced by the scientific view, while socioeconomic and political dogmas that the present Information Age is eliminating were being created. These dogmas were expanding among the people as a reaction to the Great International Crisis of 2008.

1.-Credit Society and the Pork-barrel State

It is often said that the Ford Company changed the world. Not just for the creation of the assembly line and "Fordism", but also because during the second half of the 1910 decade, its workers' wages were more than doubled so (as it was said) they could buy a Ford. When other companies began to adopt this measure, the **surplus society** was created, where most workers earned more than they needed to survive so they could save money. But not only save money, also spend it in unnecessary items, creating the **consumer society**.

Banks reacted to this new purchasing power by opening out their loans to the increasing middle class and the workers, originating the **credit society**.

The surplus society originated **people's capitalism**, where most of the country's wealth is not in wealthy people's hands, but in the middle class pension funds and where over 50% of Americans are large corporations' owners.

This alleged wealth created by indebtedness, produced or increased two types of speculators: financial and political. As well as the banking and stock market activity, the financial system began to create derivative products that have recently been described as the most powerful weapon of mass destruction, even more than atomic bombs.

With the appearance of surplus society and credit society, the Social State and the Welfare State began to expand and the public sector grew enormously, causing the **Fiscal State**.

In this sense, Tanzi's and Schuknecht's work (1997) is worldwide reference on public spending. According to these authors, public spending between 1870 and 1913 remained around 11-12% of GDP for a group of 17 industrialized countries (Australia, Austria, Canada, France, Germany, Ireland, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States, Belgium, Italy, Netherlands and Spain), growing to 18.2% in 1920, 22.4% in 1937, 27.9% in 1960 and **44.9% in 1990**. In the United States, a symbol of capitalism for most people, the public sector already represented 37.2% in 1990.

Therefore, most of the wealth, including the so-called financial wealth created by derivative products, goes into the public sector and not to entrepreneurs and managers of financial institutions, as it has been said. **Liberalism disappeared before communism**. The public sector growth described above leaves less money in the hands of individuals, restricting their freedom to choose where to spend it and thus shaping their lives and making its level decrease. We have more money than our predecessors, but we cannot choose how to spend it.

As a reaction to the Great International Crisis of 2008, the average public expenditure in the European Union exceeded 50% of GDP (50.7%), in 2009 for the first time in history, while the United States exceeded 40 % (41,8%) and Japan (40.4%).

Peter Drucker, the most influential writer of the 20th century and the father of modern management wrote the following:

By 1960, the **Megastate** had become a political reality in developed countries in all its aspects: as social agency; as **master of the economy**; as **Fiscal State**; and in most countries as Cold War State.

*Worst of all, the Fiscal State became a "**Pork-barrel State**". If budget making starts with expenditures, there is no fiscal discipline; **government expenditure becomes the means for politicians to buy votes**.*

*An increasing proportion of the budget of US -federal, each state, city- goes to subsidies for very small groups of citizens ... What is the true proportion of total **government spending in the United States used to encourage citizens who do not meet any public office -and in many cases are totally contrary to the public interest-** is something that nobody knows for sure.*

*The Pork-barrel State thus increasingly undermines the foundations of a **free society**.*

IESE professor Guido Stein has written about Peter Drucker's thought and his opinion is the following:

Drucker is not a liberal or a Keynesian, but believes that market is more efficient than the State in allocating resources, but in turn the State has important roles to play. Not in vain his mind is a mixture between European education and American practice.

Drucker was born in Austria, worked in Germany and England and then moved to the United States, where he spent most of his life.

Going on with the subsidies, these are also used for buying the wills and silence of the people and private organizations' leaders that can benefit or damage politicians. Two recent cases have had great media impact. The first one precisely related to media: since 2009, the French government finances the press; one may think to manipulate it. The second one was in Spain, with the well-known "Stop deshaucios" (stop evictions) platform. After trying to discredit it, its founder created an NPO which is financed with public funds, obtaining an income with it. It has become part of the system and, therefore, its priority is to defend it. Contrarily, worldwide

renowned Spanish popular movement “15-M” is not financed because it doesn’t have a structure or a visible head to bribe.

The world’s biggest case of subsidies is the European public pensions considered by some people as the largest Ponzi scheme in history. Retirement at the age of 65 was established at a time in which most of the people did not reach that age, but despite longevity has increased, this age of retirement has remained, until these pensions have become such an unsustainable cost that all countries have begun to extend it. Science has already published a method to calculate the average increase of people’s life. Why isn’t it used as a basis to calculate the right age for retirement? Politicians maintained the age of retirement so that this subsidized group, apparently benefited, went on growing. This growth helped them achieve more power as sponsors, having more people to defend the system. Politicians favour this group damaging others that accept it and expect to be part of it in the future. Pensions in a distribution system are unfair because it means that each generation pays for the previous, hoping to receive them when they retire, but who guarantees the continuity of this system? Are politicians reliable? In Spain the scam is bigger because pensions are paid just by the workers.

Since decades most of 65 year old people are in perfect conditions for working and, of course, someone who is 75 nowadays is in much better condition to work than most of the people who were 64 years old just 50 years ago, and yet, the latter went on working and the former doesn’t. This situation is another distinctive sign of the perverse established system: make persons unusable to make them depend on politicians or, at least, to make them believe so. Most of retired people feel unusable and away from society, in social exclusion. Actually, this social and cultural perversion means a hard psychological mistreatment for retired people, causing them frustration that can even hasten their death. The mental disorders are the group that requires more assistance services because the injustice of the growing established system is causing an enormous mental harm.

The growing established system's injustices
are causing an enormous mental harm

Subsidies and grants are not only given to more and more collectives, but they are also used to enrich those who give them: politicians and public officials, as well as their families and friends. A practice that is spreading out of control and that is destroying not just the economy but the institutions and societies.

1991 was a key year in the public sector’s history. It was the year in which the USSR (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) broke up, although the disappearance of communism is more often symbolized by the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989, two years before. But in 1991 the State interventionism also broke apart with the deep crisis in Sweden, the country with the most advanced welfare state and that, for this same reason, had become its symbol. For that reason some declare that the welfare state broke apart. The fact is that since then, the weight of the public sector has been decreasing in the Swedish economy. Later on we will see some relevant aspects of the current Swedish welfare state.

A subsidized society leads to laziness and social parasitism especially when culture considers “work” as a divine punishment, as it happens in European culture, particularly Catholicism. The Pork-barrel State leads to a populism that is not regulated by the general interests, where most people want their own groups to be granted and only vote those ones who will grant them.

Precisely the excess of subsidies in Swedish society was the origin of its economic collapse.

Logically an excess of subsidies, as in communism, eliminates innovation and entrepreneurship motivation which are the engines of collective progress.

The subsidized society's Pork-barrel State is a weapon of mass destruction even more powerful than financial derivative products. The combination of both weapons caused the international crisis of 2008 that severely damaged many more people than the atomic bombs.

The most advanced societies drag the practice of granting privileges to money. An inertia based on habits and laws. Capitalism was based on money, being this the main production factor and therefore, its accretion favoured the economic development that was necessary for a collective progress that would lead to individual wellbeing. However, throughout the 20th century talent and work displaced capital in importance. In fact, most of the companies with the highest market value were created with little capital. Therefore, the wealthiest people in the world are those who founded their own business, generally with little capital and lots of talent.

Money is, without any doubt, one of the best inventions of mankind, but it's unnecessary, unjust and economically unproductive and destructive to go on granting a privilege to its accumulation in developed economies. However, all advanced societies go on favouring the capital. In some of them, as in the United States, wealthy people pay a lower percentage of taxes than the rest. In Europe fewer taxes are paid on capital income or on donation and inheritance than on employment income. This is perverse. In many places these financial transactions are tax free. Even more, in none of the countries in the world children pay taxes for the money or belongings that their parents give them as a present, not even when it represents a monthly income higher than the minimum wage. It's absolutely perverse that those who do very little or nothing earn more money than those who work.

If we put together the three weapons of mass destruction we have seen: financial derivatives (secondary markets), the Pork-barrel State and the money privileges as well as the punishment of work, we will realize that the three Masters of suspicion and those who believed that Western world was in decline were right. But the real situation is much worse; there are more wicked and self-destructive things.

The Great International Crisis of 2008 was caused by the first two weapons of mass destruction: financial derivatives (secondary markets) and the Pork-barrel State.

2.-Global decentralization

It might seem that the above mentioned concentration of power and economic resources in the public sector and the growth and international expansion of the large multinational companies along with the creation of the U.N., the E.U. and other transnational organizations are due to greater centralization of humanity. Precisely because of this perception, the conspiracy hypothesis (incorrectly referred to as the conspiracy theory) emerges to assert the existence of a new conspiratorial world order, where a few people decide and political rulers and other leaders obey. But this idea makes little sense and does not stand comparison with the facts.

Those who believe in the conspiracy often claim that the alleged conspiratorial minority are Americans, but those who state this are not considering that China became in 2009 the world's largest exporter of goods and surpassed the USA in 2013 as the world's leading trading power, exporting 2.21 trillion USD (1.62 billion €) with a surplus of 259,800 million USD (191,029 million €). The size of China's economy is similar to the Eurozone's, both representing 17% of world GDP, while the U.S. exceeds them with 23%. The European Union and the U.S. show a downward trend for the last two or three decades, while China and other emerging countries are growing.

Actually, Western economies are much smaller than what their GDP reflects as this does not take into consideration correctly the enormous debt public and private alike which hides the actual decline of these economies for decades. GDP is part of the fiscal State's creative bookkeeping that conceals the economic reality.

The believers in the conspiracy hypothesis also do not bear in mind that there were 1,426 super-wealthy people in 64 countries, according to the 2013 Forbes Billionaires list (fortunes worth a minimum of one billion USD): 442 Americans (31%), followed by the Asia-Pacific area with 386 (27%), Europe with 366 (25.7%), the rest of America with 129 (9%) and the Middle east and Africa with 103 (7.2%). China has swelled from two super-rich in 2005 to 168, 43.5% of the Asia-Pacific area and 11.8% of the world total. Russia ranked third with 110 billionaires and Germany fourth with 58. Spain has 20 super-rich. It would be logical that China may have more super-rich than the USA over the next few years, that the richest people in the world may be Chinese and that these billionaires' combined wealth may exceed that of the richest Americans. The majority of the wealthiest people became so by setting up companies that had a huge success. Great fortunes are often squandered and also the current richest people are donating most of their fortunes to private foundations so their children don't inherit them.

Finally, those believers do not take into account the fact that the public sector dominates the rich economies and it is the great controller of the world economy. As Drucker said, "the Mega State has become the master of the economy", so The Breed (the politicians) need no longer be subordinated to anyone or any power. Breed members are emperors.

Despite the apparent centralization and globalization, Alvin Toffler published "The Third Wave" (one of the most influential books) in 1979, which stated that Western societies were decentralized and described multiple examples.

We cannot forget that decentralization is a characteristic of the private sectors market (business and civil society). Decentralization is related to a freedom that originates talent and to the entrepreneurship and innovation that provide collective progress for individual wellbeing. Precisely the economic decentralization resulting from the appearance of the market triggered off the Industrial Revolution that made possible the emergence of a surplus society on which the Welfare State rests.

In order to show what was going on, I coined years ago the term "global decentralization" as a process of change in the social organization that embraces both views. This global decentralization was well reflected in two of the 20th century largest companies: General Motors and General Electric. General Motors was the biggest corporation in the world for most of the 20th century. According to Peter Drucker, the success of General Motors was mainly due to a business style in which management of the different brands that comprise it were decentralized while the company became global and spread throughout the world.

Jack Welch was named "Manager of the Century" by Fortune magazine for his management as head of General Electric. Welch used to say that his business success was due to establishing corporate procedures, choosing wisely who takes charge of each division, asking them for specific results and allowing them to make their own decisions to achieve results. Along with this decentralization, its divisions had an almost worldwide reach.

Also the public sector of any developed country has been transformed by the twin processes of global decentralization: the number of ministries (State Department or Secretary of State) has been increased and autonomous bodies, government-owned companies, etc., have been set up. At the same time, the public sector globalizes as we have seen above, especially in the European Union and United Nations.

The global decentralization is also evident in a Different World Order. It was said after World

War II that there was a cold war between the two military superpowers: the USA and the USSR. With the disintegration of the USSR it was said that only the USA remained, but with the appearance of the European Union it was said that a new superpower had risen. The emerging economies of the BRIC/BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India and China/South Africa), G-5 (Brazil, China, India, Mexico and South Africa), CIVETS (Colombia, Indonesia, Vietnam, Egypt, Turkey and South Africa) or MINT (Mexico, Indonesia, Nigeria and Turkey), especially the overpopulated China and India, have joined forces to influence on a Different World Order. Previously, agreements had been reached between countries of most geographical areas of the world with the European Union standing out above the rest due to its combined wealth, its more advanced societies and the fact of being the most global union.

As a result of the 2008 International Crisis the importance of the G20 has increased. This Group includes emerging economies to the detriment of the Western powers G8, which is also a part of the abovementioned G20 group.

Due to these changes it is usually affirmed that we have a **multipolar international system** which entails decentralization in respect of the bipolar order (USA/USSR).

3.- From decentralized society to widespread corruption

Decentralization implies more people making decisions and more centres of power. This situation is extending corruption, destroying all political systems, including democracies, and turning them into abusecracies.

Institutional corruption starts when leaders do small favours for family and friends that spread to family and friends of the former, both in administrative proceedings and in order to get bigger favours. These favours corrupt public servants and politicians in power. At some stage someone will propose to pay very well for a favour and he will do it. It only takes one corrupt decision made by a high-ranking administrative or political official to happen again, even just by imitation. The corruptor himself will inform other public authorities in order to get more favours.

Corrupt government decisions turn into practice and are preserved out of habit and impoverished by new harmful and corrupt government decisions until a total system corruption is reached: a systemic corruption.

Corruption perception by their colleagues in a public or government body boosts corruption. In the end these people forget their responsibility thinking that “public money is no one’s money” and a mentality of corruption permissiveness starts growing.

On the other hand, political decisions that affect large number of people or large amounts of money should be made (and usually are) after knowing the opinion and interests of the parties concerned. Members of these parties concerned may have more or less power, more or less credibility and, in conclusion, more or less influence in the decision makers. As decision makers are public figures, it is easy to know their position with regard major issues and, therefore, it may be easy to use their preferences to manipulate their decision making. This is the case of members of the Government who are public figures. Therefore, big decisions are usually influenced by partisan interests.

Corruption extends from bottom to top and from top to bottom, i.e. from public servants to politicians, and vice versa.

The level of corruption has been so high for years in all countries that you can tell that when a politician finishes his career he makes use of these favours so those he favoured in the past help him in the future.

WikiLeaks has proven that there are three areas where corruption has taken root in all the countries in the world: international relations, intelligence services and matters of state. In the case of matters of state, WikiLeaks founder declared in 2013 that a certain issue becomes a matter of state to conceal that it represents private interests of certain part of society which is powerful and that harms public interest. Most state secrets follow in the same line.

Most international agreements entail the defence of minority groups' interests which hurt public interest of the countries involved. Furthermore, bribes are common practice. Most countries, including major economic powers, have state-owned companies involved in arms trade abroad. The negotiations for these operations begin by delivering an envelope full of thousands of dollars or euros to the main assistants. Logically, the salesman also grabs part of the money which he deposits in his tax haven account. Arms trade is one of the world's biggest businesses; however it represents a smaller amount of money in comparison to public sector arms sales. Private arms trade only exists when no government wishes to sell weapons to the buyer.

In recent years many scandals concerning humanitarian aid have been made public because a large percentage ended up in the hands of politicians and officials of the aided countries. What it wasn't said is that politicians from the countries that granted aid habitually received commissions paid by the beneficiary countries. Humanitarian aid has become big business in an environment of global corruption.

It is precisely the wealth that international operations create for poor countries' politicians that the development of their countries is of no interest to them, as non-profit humanitarian aid organizations workers have alerted. Third World aid is huge business for politicians of the recipient countries who keep a great part of it and do not transfer it to the population for which aid was granted. These politicians do not allow multinationals to operate in their countries for the same reasons in order to prevent that the governed increase their independence and opportunities by improvement of salaries, technology (including knowledge), goods and services provided by multinationals. That's why well-informed people claim that multinationals are not the problem but part of the solution.

In this regard it is often claimed in Spain and Latin America that former Prime Minister Felipe González is the biggest landowner in Latin America and it is also said that Latin American politicians exchanged land for loans or non-recoverable funds. Nowadays even autonomous communities carry out these operations with the resulting profit for their rulers.

Most of the population want to help poor countries. This aid represents just another way for politicians to buy votes. Subsidies, grants and economic aid are part of the Pork-barrel State.

The Breed is the world's main issue
in poor and rich countries alike

The Pork-barrel State needs to maintain the patronages that were granted before in order not to lose the votes of the beneficiaries and at the same time needs more money to buy new votes. For these reasons, politicians encourage all ways of economic growth including the perverse secondary financial system and the perverse public debt. These Pork-barrel State's interests lead politicians to favour the financial system instead of the illogical idea that they are at its service.

But on top of that The Breed plunders the Treasury. There is proof of commission payment

cases in all countries especially by land zoning (urban planning) and great public works. Precisely because of these commissions, the actual practice of increasing out of control the amounts in signed contracts is spreading. Public tenders that do not cover expenses are won and then the amount is increased to share the spoils among entrepreneurs and politicians.

All these commissions paid to The Breed are undeclared income and that's why they usually end up in tax havens, whose main customers are politicians themselves. Logically, Europe is the most corrupt region in the world because of its great wealth and the enormous weight that the public sector carries in its economy. For this reason, Europe is the region with most tax havens and where there is greater political resistance to eliminate them.

Some career politicians prefer to hold each public office longer, most frequently to make money, and others prefer promotion to obtain more power. Thus power and money are the two major motivations for politicians. Those who prefer power know that the political career guarantees an above average standard of life and if they make it to the top, they become wealthier than most of those who opted for money. Those who choose this know that money and office also give them power.

This is how The Breed members plunder public funds obtained through forfeiture to individuals and private organizations.

The Breed confiscates our money to plunder it afterwards

Decentralization of public sector makes easier that corruption becomes systemic, that is to say that becomes a rule of behaviour for all public servants and politicians who handle public funds, or may favour payers.

It is often said that private sector generates social inequality because it is not able to regulate itself and, therefore requires a public sector to prevent abuses by entrepreneurial leaders. However, since decades The Breed has created more inequality than the entrepreneurial sector, having become the main problem for rich economies, poor economies and emerging economies' citizens.

When abuse has taken roots it becomes part of the rules of behaviour of individuals with power and these take advantage of everyone without exception, even abusing other abusecrats, although if these are high-ranking officials it is usually avoided by peddling of political favours. When it so happens, abusecrats work more for their own profit than to perform their public service, thus creating a context of uncontrolled power.

Political corruption is noticed as one of the major issues worldwide as reflected in many surveys and studies. In fact, most problems are related to political corruption, including hunger. World press has echoed this most serious situation, especially because of the effects that the Great International Crisis of 2008 revealed. Therefore, the world's leading newspapers often publish all institutional corruption scandals, including those in other countries.

Several private foundations have been created to fight against corruption, such as The Centre for Public Integrity, Transparency International and WikiLeaks.

American cinema often reflects the current state of societies; in this sense, everyday more films are made about police and judiciary corruption, and worldwide secret services' and politicians' corruption. However, there are other films like the Spanish saga "Torrente" that picture not only the corruption but also a way of thinking that considers the Royal House and The Breed corruption as normal, which is the King's and the Prime Minister's mentality, among

other Spanish leaders. "Torrente 2: Mision en Marbella" (2001) has been considered by several non-Spanish publications as an accurate reflection of the Spanish reality and one of the main film productions of Europe's decline.

The movie "The Distinguished Gentleman" (1992) starring Eddie Murphy shows a completely corrupt US Congress, where congressmen are paid commissions for every political decision, which are usually made to favour the highest bidder.

Corruption is already the second factor of production in most countries of the world and, due to angrowing public intervention, threatens to become the main factor of production, a situation that would destroy economy and society.

Scandal cases have affected Presidents in Germany, Spain, France, Greece, Italy, USA, Portugal, etc. aswell as Ministers in all Western countries.

People with power would rather help others with power in order to increase their own power than defend the good of society because, if it entails acting against other people with power, it would create enemies who would limit their power. This is the rule of power. A rule that inevitably makes a power-based system, especially if this is state coercive power, ending up in an abusecracy that destroys society.

The Breed has too much power and too many privileges, which are obtained by harming the majority of society who, at the end, pays them. The acceptance of these privileges implies prioritizing them and establishing bastard interests that conflict with the common good. Thus, the latter are subordinate to the former, creating a corrupt mentality.

The public sector worldwide attempts against the collective progress and the individual wellbeing because it is structurally and systemically corrupt.

Let's put ourselves in a political ruler's place after winning an election. He might think: "I have been elected to represent a number of individuals who I do not know and do not interest me, and I have to take charge of a heap of things that I am neither unaware of nor care about. These individuals are so ignorant that they have mistakenly believed my electoral compromises which are clearly impossible to fulfil. My voters are contemptible because of their ignorance".

4.- Impoverishment of the population

One of the alleged roles of the fiscal state is the redistribution of wealth; however, we are watching how inequality is growing in developed countries. Some claim that entrepreneurs are creating inequality as they increase their remuneration while the others are reduced. However, there are other reasons related to The Breed.

As we have seen in the Ford Company, the entrepreneurs are interested in giving their workers the highest possible salary so the economy grows and therefore it reflects in their businesses. However, they cannot control the purchasing power of their workers due to the State tax intervention which increases its pressure as the workers earn more money.

On the other hand, international competition resulting from globalization, especially from emerging economies, makes it necessary to restrain wages in those sectors where their companies have a strong presence.

Public sector obstacles to entrepreneurial activity and excessive bureaucracy make Western companies less competitive than those from emerging countries. Why does a majority believe that politicians benefit firms when the opposite happens as a norm, although with some exceptions?

In summary, fiscal State and globalization press the wages down thus increasing social

inequality, while economic growth presses for salary increases.

Without a doubt, entrepreneurs should set an example and cut down their remunerations when they do to others, but they will not do so and shareholders will not demand it, probably because of the large number of shareholders that big multinationals competing in several economies have.

Transparency International's "Global Corruption Report 2009" included an article that stated that a condition to reach large US corporate top management positions was accepting privileges, such as exorbitant revenues and high expenses charged to firm's account.

As in the business world, it happens in any field of public power. Promotion in the career as public servant involves accepting specific interests of each sphere and each affected field, including bastard interests. This is how corruption becomes systemic, affecting all areas of power, and ends up spreading throughout society by imitating institutional corruption. The majority transfer their professional areas of activity to the informal economy and their profits to tax havens, thus increasing social inequality generated by institutional corruption. This is the abusecracy that is destroying all existing societies.

Multinationals' shareholders put pressure on the companies to obtain a higher yield, which in turn also pressures to reduce wages although, as entrepreneurs do, they are more interested in raising the workers' purchasing power.

On the contrary, The Breed is interested in impoverishing the population because the poorer the people are the more they will need public aid, so The Breed will increase its power. Communism was based on this.

We have seen that, due to the size reached, the State has become the main customer of most entrepreneurial -wrongly called economic- activity sectors, turning into the "master of the economy" as Drucker wrote it. This condition gives it a domain situation when negotiating, according to the supply and demand law, thus eliminating freedom of market especially in Latin countries (American and European) where the State puts pressure for prices reduction and therefore prevents the normal functioning of the market and creates poor economies without possibility of improvement. In addition to destroying the economic progress in order to increase the majority's dependence on politicians, these also plunder public funds by obliging suppliers to pay a commission which must be deducted from the companies' profit or from the workers' wages. This is another way to impoverish workers, who often are paid less than the public sector commission agents.

It is significant that most European countries conceal their workers' work performance to them. One of the methods used in most European countries is through National insurance contributions paid by the company. This concealment makes workers believe that the entrepreneur is making lots of money, thus fostering the old socialist idea that the entrepreneur exploits the worker by keeping his work's added value; therefore, it fuels social conflict that makes population believe that politicians are necessary to resolve it. This falsehood is performed in collusion with the unions that conceal it for the same reasons as politicians: they want the workers to believe that their help is needed to be defended against employers.

Politicians create social problems and make population believe that they are necessary to solve them

The public sector is the one that keeps more money from the workers without their knowing, even more than they receive, because it is purposely concealed to them. The public sector keeps the worker's added value.

Most people believe that politicians are at the service of the economic power, the financial system and the big corporations; however, things turn out quite differently: politicians have created their own interests and have made politics a highly profitable occupation, especially through the systematic plundering of public funds that have previously been taken away from individuals.

The combination of unlimited public sector growth and unlimited politicians' greed has made corruption the norm and has become the main issue in all countries, both rich and poor. Therefore, an Italian journalist published a book in 2008 with information about the flagrant systemic corruption of Italian politicians whom he named "The Breed". A year later, in 2009, a Spanish journalist did the same and also used the denomination "The Breed". While the Italian book title referred to the impunity of corrupt politicians, the Spanish highlighted: "The incredible cushy number of being a politician in Spain".

What competencies do politicians have to make decisions that affect us all? Are they supermen? Are they gods?

The politicians' competencies are reduced to: 1) knowing what others want to hear, 2) saying it although it is false, 3) do everything possible to cover up their own and others corruption, and 4) do everything that is necessary to sustain the system.

Later we will see other methods with which The Breed impoverishes population so it increases their dependence on the public sector which they control.

5.- Spain. Leader in world's abusecracy

Spain is European or world leader in many statistics, but always in aspects that damage its inhabitants. As Sweden was for decades considered the most advanced society in the world and a role model, now Spain is the symbol of decadence of the industrial societies and the model followed by politicians worldwide. For this reason, it is important to have a good knowledge of it to know how the country of this document reader will end up, unless it takes place a radical change to a different social organization system as proposed in the second part of this work.

Spain is the role model for politicians of developed economies and the role model to be avoided by all. The international press knows it and keeps a close eye on Spanish corruption, which has become a reference in the Western world.

Spain has as many institutions to protect social justice and progress than most developed countries, but none of them fulfil their social role. All of them prioritise concealing corruption to sustain the system. This is the general opinion of the Spanish public as reflected in official studies carried out by the public agency Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas (CIS)(Centre for Sociological Research), where all institutions fail and where is reflected that the major issue in Spain is corruption, only second to unemployment. This opinion is ratified by judiciary cases which represent a minimum fraction of the actual corruption systematically covered up.

Spain's world leadership is due to the systemic corruption of the three branches of the government: legislative, executive and judiciary. Systemic corruption entails that every individual who becomes part of one of these branches is forced to accept corruption; otherwise the system will kick him out or hinder his progress.

It begins with the legislative branch which is the foundation for industrial societies. The supreme law (the Constitution) is systematically violated by organic laws which deal with the development of its principles and rights. After those, the remaining ordinary laws increase the violation of organic laws and other principles and rights embodied in the Constitution. Most laws exclusively exist to limit the fundamental or constitutional rights. For this reason, Spain is the second country in the world with a greater number of laws (around 25,000), after Italy. In these two countries what matters is quantity, not quality.

The Breed makes use of laws openly for its benefit and even has its own very profitable taxation system. It also benefits other abusecrats and utilises laws to cut back or eliminate everyone's constitutional rights (human rights and fundamental rights), including abusecrats'. European Union organizations leaders have stated this in several occasions and have referred for the last few years to mortgage laws, which they declared that have no room in the European Union, and to labour reform which, although it improves the labour market, it does not approach the urgent problem of reducing unemployment. The Breed is corrupt and incompetent when it comes to law making (legislative branch). This description is valid for both PP right-wing administrations and PSOE left-wing administrations, not being scientifically possible to establish which did worst; thus any opinion on the matter is groundless and any defence of either of them is perverse, discrediting he who comments so.

To top it all, the low legislative quality is worsened by unfair sentences pronounced by judiciary members. Moreover, as The Breed does, most public prosecutors and judges do not comply with the law. When a complaint is lodged before the government board of the judges, the Consejo General del Poder Judicial (CGPJ) (General Council of the Judiciary), or a (public) claim before the Ministerio de Justicia (Ministry of Justice), those will dismiss it arguing that malfunctioning of the justice administration is the usual. The CGPJ belongs to the judiciary branch and the Ministerio de Justicia to the executive branch. **This systematic cover-up encourages the abovementioned public prosecutors, judges and magistrates to commit crimes.** This illegality is such that it may be possible that more crimes are committed inside Spanish courts and tribunals than outside them.

So we have already seen the systematic corruption of the three classical branches of government: legislative, executive and judiciary.

Previously we had seen the systematic corruption of the executive branch (government) by the creation of a Pork-barrel State and the systematic plundering of public funds, but that does not end up here. We cannot forget the systematic corruption of the Ministerio del Interior (Home Office). Police forces are structurally and systematically corrupt and their high ranking officials also encourage corruption. The first thing a police officer learns is to cover up his illegal actions. In fact, they share this competence with all leaders of all Spanish institutions, including the Royal Family, governments and public service organizations, traditional social agents (political parties, unions and business organizations) and highly regulated companies, that is to say, the **abusecrats**, whose main objective is plundering Spanish citizens.

The convergence of systemic corruption in the three traditional branches of government creates a **malfunction of the Spanish rule of law**, one of the pillars of the State and modern societies. This malfunction fosters a widespread social corruption in public authorities, private leaders and the majority, as it is proven by the extent of the Spanish informal economy.

This malfunction has led on to Spanish citizens to seek justice in the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg which had already condemned Spanish institutions 70 times from 1988 to 2009 for not abiding by its own laws. Spain is also the European leader in this matter, not surpassed by any other country in number of convictions. Despite the high costs of Strasbourg, more and more Spanish citizens decide to take there their disputes which are not resolved by

Spanish courts. When you are assigned a bad public prosecutor or bad judge in Spain, which is common, you are lost because when you appeal to a superior court, it will see the error and will try to conceal it in order to avoid compensations and to prevent that the judiciary incompetence becomes public, which are their main goals. These do not work to carry out justice or to apply the law.

**Malfunctioning of the rule of law foments social corruption
Widespread leaders' corruption creates abusecracy**

Internationally, it used to be believed that the Spanish health care service ranked among the world's best but this is not true. Spanish doctors have left behind tens of thousands of disabled people who have not made a legal claim because in Spain most medical negligence and offenses committed in the practice of their profession are covered up.

Court claims and appeals are very expensive and take many years to be decided due to a lattice of laws and regulations deliberately built to relinquish people on claiming. Public service organizations operation in Spain is designed to dissuade those who intend to use them.

Spanish health care service official information is as falsified as most public sector data and also they are incomplete to increase the system opacity. This is the common Spanish style operation. It is abusecracy at its finest to date.

Information opacity and falsehood are proven by the abovementioned extent of the Spanish informal economy, where abusecrats are first to benefit. The European Union is funded by 1% of each member's GDP and this excludes that informal economy; therefore, the bigger the informal economy is, the less is paid to the European Union. This is perverse.

This wickedness does not end up there as more money is received from the European Union when the GDP is lower. Spain exceeded the European average income per person and stopped receiving most aid. Now, GDP has decreased due to the crisis and informal economy has increased, so it is eligible for aid again. This is an evil fraud since informal economy is easy to fight but The Breed prevents it because it is not in their interest. This attitude is called by the media "lack of political will", concealing that it originates in bastard interests of The Breed which the media defend for reasons already explained.

How have we arrived at this situation?

Franco dictatorship lasted 39 years and any regime without changes in the power leadership tends to spread corruption. Spanish democracy had to rely on thousands of high ranked officials from the corrupt public sector. This corruption not only endured but increased with the public sector growth.

Spain was structurally corrupted by The Breed, mainly through two laws enacted by Felipe González administration. The first one eliminated the independence of the judges in 1985, three years after being elected. His own Vice-president, when asked on television if he thought that such law would put an end to the independence of the judiciary, replied: "Montesquieu has died", thus informing that the government sought to control the judiciary, a situation internationally well-known due to the outrageous court decisions in favour of the abusecrats, even acting against judges who were not obedient.

The second one turned saving banks into banks managed by some abusecrats (politicians, unionleaders and business organization management, as well as their family and friends).

These two measures caused the current Spanish socio-economic failure and the malfunction of the rule of law due to a systemic corruption of the branches of government.

Also Felipe González institutionalised political corruption, including illegal funding of political parties. Felipe González was the greatest social destructive element in the history of Spanish democracy. He left through the back door after 14 years in office (1982-1996) due to early elections that he lost. It is wicked that a great majority of the population consider him at present as the leading candidate for presidency of the alleged republic that most Spanish citizens want.

The apparent economic success of the Aznar administration (1996-2004) was due to the European Union contributions, which reflected a positive bottom line of nearly 1% of GDP, and the boost to the construction sector through a favourable taxation system, strengthened by the social engineering of the former President's words: "Spain is going well". Entrepreneurs and most individuals believed him and went into excessive debt through loans granted by business financiers who also believed in the social engineer Aznar. In the end, the worldwide known property bubble that was created led the country to the largest crisis in its history. Aznar destroyed Spanish economy. If González institutionalised political corruption, Aznar administration institutionalised administrative or public service corruption. During his term in office, real mafias were formed to obtain administrative favours: subsidies, grants, etc. It is wicked that most of the population consider him as the second main candidate for presidency of the alleged republic that most Spanish citizens want.

Zapatero (2004-2012) arrived after Aznar. He was nicknamed as "Bambi" by some fellow socialists in reference to the famous Disney character, nobody knows if it was due to his innocence or his limited discernment skills. He applied himself to denying the crisis and spending, spending, spending..., increasing the debt and more debt, more debt..., until he went out through the back door. Like his fellow Socialist Felipe González, he also moved the elections to an early date and also lost them. Zapatero led to an economic downfall that will last several decades with the resulting social destruction.

Then Rajoy arrived in 2012. His public statements and his decisions demonstrate that he is a person who does not understand the meaning of human being or its dignity and for this reason he systematically infringes upon human rights. He was Vice-president with his fellow Conservative Aznar so he knows well the abusecracy and has the same objective of becoming a leading member of it in order to make a lot of money from his perspective, i.e. working as little as possible.

The four former Presidents studied a law degree and it is common saying in Spain that he who has the "know how", just has it, and he who doesn't, studies a degree in Humanities, meaning that science degrees are too complex for them. Law is a degree in Humanities.

Both Conservatives Aznar and Rajoy were career public servants. It may be for this reason that they were more rigorous with public expenditure than the two Socialists, who were spendthrifts. The latter provided more public business to the financial system although they were always complaining about it, which proves they were dishonest.

Felipe González, the Vice-president and the ministers in one of his administrations were condemned by the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg for violating human rights of tens of thousands of Spanish families. Most Spaniards who are not fanatic of political ideologies think that these four politicians systematically infringed upon human rights -- rights that most Spaniards do not understand because their discernment capacity is very limited due to their philosophical-religious, socio-economic and political dogma. In general, leftists, including the abovementioned two, do not believe in dignity or individual freedom and for that reason they prefer to be subordinates of the state. Conservatives, including the

aforementioned two, do not believe that lower classes have dignity or rights.

There are urban development corruption cases worldwide. In Spain, most city planning councillors do not wish to be promoted in their political career because they prefer their jobs which allow them to accumulate wealth by collecting money for every council land sale, being especially profitable when it comes to rezoning. In Spain the norm establishes that a purchase of council land requires three payments: one to the town hall and two to the councillor, these under the table. The councillor delivers half to his political party and keeps the other half for him. Politicians who wish to grow rich and are not interested in power, fight for this post of city councillor.

Mayors do not usually participate in this business for two main reasons: 1) their political ambition leads them to avoid taking risks that may finish their political careers, and 2) because they already make business with the commission for public works that they manage. This public work involves building schools and medical centres and has grown dramatically since the European Union funded it. It is common to see Spanish towns and villages that build a school and leave the old building unused, even if this is better prepared than the new one.

This rush to construct educational and healthcare buildings has falsified information on expenditure and cost of these basic services. By comparing the expenditure with other European countries, we can see that they are similar, even though the salaries are much lower. Where does the money go?

More than 20 airports have been built in the last few years which are loss-making, and some have not even been opened. These large infrastructures are also constructed so the politicians receive their commissions and give publicity to their term in order to gain votes, another activity of the Pork-barrel State. Another building rush was related to industrial estates and large sports facilities, many of them also not opened.

There are thousands of unused public buildings in Spain, even with better conditions than private buildings. Moreover, some of these great works are not even finished due to the restrictions imposed by the crisis.

Politicians nationwide prefer to enrich rather than promote their political career and they would choose the Ministerio de Fomento (Ministry of Public Works) because it dealt with public works where it is easy to obtain commissions. Due to the tendency towards outsourcing education and health care management in autonomous regions, market niches have emerged which may generate recurring commissions throughout the duration of the contract, as well as the building works to accommodate these services: educational and healthcare centres. In fact, several scandals have already become public.

A study performed by an European Union agency revealed that welfare state basic services may only be provided to towns with a minimum population of five thousand. However, there are villages of 2,000 inhabitants in Spain that have an educational centre and a healthcare centre. This is due to the actual squandering of the corruption in public works together with the Pork-barrel State.

Spanish saving banks are private organizations managed by politicians and unions. Before the crisis, they accounted for slightly over half of the financial activity. The government changed their social function in 1985, putting them in the same level as private banks. Since then, their funds have been used by their leaders with impunity to benefit family, friends and abusecrats, as well as to finance political parties. With the crisis, most of these financial institutions went bankrupt and governments decided to bail them out with over one hundred thousand million euros. To that one ought to add the subtraction of the profits of their banking activity during those 25 years. Overall the looting probably exceeded two hundred thousand million euros, a

20% of Spanish GDP. We must keep in mind that most Spaniards consider that public money is nobody's money, so looters believe they are not robbing anyone. In fact these bailouts were carried out to conceal the criminal activities of the abusecrats involved.

Most saving banks board directors had no financial or business knowledge, as several have declared in court in order to avoid responsibilities in the plundering. They figured only to be paid. In 2013, an e-mail leaked where a union leader requested 9,000 euros to attend a meeting where an expense account was to be sanctioned. It is common in Spain to be paid for doing nothing. Abusecracy is the main cause of Spanish low levels of productivity.

In summer 2013, over 1,000 Spanish politicians were prosecuted in corruption cases. The European Union estimates that 1% of its GDP is allocated to corruption, although the figure is higher in Spain, possibly closer to 10% including ill-uses of abusecratic companies, which are backed by political decisions. Police and public prosecutors have not investigated any of this evident corruption cases, following orders from the different governments in power. Those cases that reach court are due to private complaints but, even so, judges close the file on most cases. There are not only 1,000 corrupt politicians but all of them are because corruption is systemic. Corruption begins with the political parties, where you must accept it to belong to them, as it happens in the largest US corporations, as seen above.

There are over 80,000 elected political posts in Spain. Some of them do not get paid, but this figure does not include those politicians who receive money from political parties or their foundations and companies, whose income proceed from the plundering of public funds, or those who charge as board members of public companies and other companies to compensate them for favours done when in office or to use their skills and experience in influence peddling to obtain government privileges or to bribe national and foreign public officials.

And if that wasn't enough, there are over 360,000 people who charge public administrations as public officials' advisors. Some of them get paid from public administrations whose person in charge of payments does not know why they are being paid. Most of these advisors are politicians' family or friends (string-pulling) who do not perform any work but get paid. Furthermore, there are tens of thousands of union professionals who do not perform any productive work but receive money from public funds, usually illegally as with the two major unions, or from big corporations, thus reducing their productivity.

The plundering of public funds by the leaders of the abusecracy is proven by the current courts of law that involve the Partido Popular (People's Party) in office at present (Gürtel plot with perpetrators in prison; Bárcenas papers' case, also in jail; and Blesa affair, who was imprisoned twice and that involves other main parties' politicians and both major unions), the Infanta and her husband (with the participation of the King, other Royal House members and a large number of politicians), the Redundancy Schemes case (ERE in Spanish) in Andalusia and other proceedings that involve Socialist and both major union leaders, and the proceeding against the former President of the Spanish Business Association (CEOE in Spanish), who is already imprisoned.

Another novelty of the Spanish abusecrats consists of not delivering the requested information with ridiculous computer excuses, arguing in court the lack of basic computers knowledge.

Primary it was the ruling Conservative Partido Popular with the aforementioned Bárcenas papers' case. The judge requested his computers. One was delivered without the hard drive and the other had all information deleted, declaring that there was no backup copy. They laughed right in the judge's face, despising his insignificant power in an abusecratic system and showing the utmost high-handedness.

With regards to the aforementioned ERE case in Andalusia, when the judge requested certain

information, UGT union dared to say that the hard drive containing all the information was deleted and nothing has happened so far. No one with any computers knowledge can believe such tall tale as it is known that any organization makes data backup copies because, if not, it is as if they didn't exist and that can lead to serious criminal offenses like tax evasion, fraud, etc.

Both hard drive events occurred in 2013 and the public prosecutor's offices have not accused the abusecrats involved of the crime of obstruction of justice by destruction of evidence. Public prosecutor's office lack of accusation constitutes the offense of not prosecuting a crime, but nobody dares to file a complaint because the complainant might end up in prison for false accusation. This is how the malfunctioning Spanish rule of law works, being an instrument at the service of abusecracy.

Accordingly, a judge declared on television when asked about these events that hardly any judge, including himself, would dare to act if a complaint was filed against any of the two major political parties. This is to say that the judges know that both political parties have power to take reprisals against them and that they would do so; in other words, he is describing the two major parties as mafias, to use common words.

Following with the abusecrats, we may add the doubts about the origin of the King fortune as well as his shady businesses published decades ago by a now-defunct Spanish magazine. An origin also questioned by The New York Times in 2012.

In recent years Spain is living unusual and unprecedented behaviours, perhaps even being the pioneer country worldwide. The public prosecutor's office, instead of acting against the defendants as it is its duty, defends them from the private prosecution that filed the case (complainant or plaintiff) and from the judge himself. This novelty of the abusecracy could be seen in the aforementioned proceedings against the party in office, against the Infanta or against the former President of Bankia (Caja Madrid), the second largest savings bank and fourth financial institution in the country. They are all members of "The untouchables" caste, i.e. the leaders of the abusecracy. When the judges intend to pursue the crimes of "The untouchables", the public prosecutor's office charges the judge for not abiding by the law, just for attempting to try "The untouchables" for their crimes which already are credited in the proceeding. Another unusual situation that shows more clearly the existence of the abusecracy and that they are above the law.

The few judges who act against abusecracy are discredited as "star judges" who only expect to be famous. One of these judges declared that they are the only ones who can defend citizens from politicians, which is true, but when they do so, the public prosecutor's office acts against them and the media publicly discredit them.

For the public prosecutor's office, when the alleged criminal is a female and she shares an illegal business with her husband, she is only a woman who does not realise what her husband is doing. Spain is a Catholic country where the Catholic Church is allowed not to abide by the law and to discriminate against women, not permitting them to occupy senior management positions, which is illegal. Logically, this machismo is illegal, but it is a reality that public prosecutor's office uses to fulfil its bastard interests. In this sense, there are an Infanta and a female minister in Spain who, according to the words of the public prosecutor's office and people's interpretation, are stupid. If this was true, it is very ruthless that the public prosecutor's office makes it public and perhaps it is committing a crime of infringing upon their own image rights. Additionally, it may cause that voters come out from their lethargic state and realise that their representatives are incompetent due to their intellectual limitations, thus deeply affecting the representative democratic system.

Although hard to believe, there is in Spain, as in other countries, a Court of Accounts that supervises public accounts, but in practice it has a limited use because public administrations

do not usually deliver the accounting documentation in the set period so their illegal operations expire, including the plundering of public funds. The European Union requested the Spanish government in 2013 to create a new agency to monitor public accounts and that it fulfils certain requirements in order to prevent that it operates Spanish style, only pretending to control things.

Traditional social agents (political parties, trade unions and business organizations) are moved by money and power before their social function. They are machines for looting public accounts. Political parties and trade unions have set up a scheme of private organizations (foundations and corporations) to enrich and defray their luxurious activities. They plunder public accounts at all administrative levels: state, regional and local government. These three levels subsidise and make donations to political parties and unions, as well as to their aforementioned schemes. These are obscure structures that make impossible knowing neither the amounts plundered nor how many people live off of this business. Regarding the other administrative level, provincial councils, a senator and former leader of a Galician council stated the following on television in 2013: "Councils are designed only to maintain the gigantic structures of political parties and cost over 6 thousand million euros per year".

Both abusecratic unions have companies for property promotion, a business suggested and financed by political parties and banks so they enriched and thus became corrupt; a Spanish style operation to corrupt everyone who has social power.

The two major unions (CCOO and UGT) get paid for each dismissed worker because of an ERE (Redundancy Scheme). Public sector pays the union and both conceal this fact to the plundered worker. These unions did not wish to be included in the Transparency Bill despite that most of their income comes from public funds or looting of workers without their knowledge, acting in collusion with public administrations. It is possible to think that when a company presents an ERE, a quick agreement with the unions will be reached and afterwards they will raise the number of dismissed workers and tell the media to trick public opinion; then they pretend that a negotiation had taken place and the unions had managed to reduce the number of dismissed workers. In Spain almost everything is a lie (appearances can be deceptive) and the media deceive more when they cover up abusecrats, although this is changing.

In addition to the aforementioned institutional abusecrats, there are business abusecrats. Financial institutions, electric corporations and telecommunications companies stand out in Spain. All of them are highly regulated sectors and whose rates are directly or indirectly set up by The Breed. Democratic Spain has had for decades the highest bank and telecommunication rates of the world. With regards to electricity rates, they are the highest worldwide. This overprice is higher than the increase in business profits compared to other European companies; then, how is it possible when salaries and prices are one of the lowest of the countries around us and without proportionally earning as much as their European counterparts?.

There is only one answer: abusecracy and the plundering of these organizations by entrepreneurs, friends, family and other abusecrats, who share the looting of the accounts they control: you plunder the funds I manage and I plunder yours. This way we get richer. If this is true, they are ripping shareholders off. Spain may also be called Swindleland although abusecracy is a broader term.

As a little story it may be pointed out that Spanish telecommunications giant Telefónica is commonly known as Timofónica (rip-offonic) and, now that it operates with its commercial brand Movistar instead of its corporate name, it is known as Vomitar (to vomit), two nicknames with a similar phonetic resemblance that show people's disagreement with its way of operating.

With the globalisation of the two big Spanish banks, these began earning money in other markets and lowered their Spanish rates, dragging the rest of banks, but rates have come up again after the crisis. The abuses of the Spanish banking system are already known by international media: 1) the property excesses, 2) the mortgage abuses, 3) the preferred stocks scam, and 4) the use of saving banks to loot public accounts. Regarding mortgage abuses, it is not usually known that banks forced clients to pay for an assessment of the mortgaged dwellings made by someone of their choice and, however, when the house was seized they did not pay for the amount assessed.

The plundering of these corporates is usual; they charge for services not supplied and complaints produce no results. Logically, legal channels do not prevail because the judiciary is part of the abusecracy leaders. The European Union is well aware of this since Rip-offonic has been fined in several occasions for not abiding by the law after being acquitted in Spain.

Former presidents of the government Felipe González and Aznar, as well as several government high level officials, have senior positions in these corporations with lavish salaries.

Surely it is easier now to believe that abusecrats corruption in Spain is ten times higher (10% of GDP) than the average estimated by the European Union agencies (1% of GDP), a rather plausible estimate that might easily double, treble or more if The Breed didn't conceal the truth.

The success of abusecracy is also due to cultural decay. Politicians and media celebrities (pseudies or pseudo-intellectuals) have brainwashed the majority. Even in 2014, most political talk show guests brainwash viewers by holding that political parties must defend their right wing or left wing ideologies, which have already failed. A freethinker cannot speak with a Spaniard about these matters because he would not bear the violence that the Spaniard, aggrieved by a reality he does not want to admit, would exercise on him. It is like talking to a Spaniard (usually Catholic) about the human being or life. Most Spaniards ignore what freethinking is and, when explained to them, they would think it is a cult and, in addition, a majority would believe that it has a satanic origin. I declare this as a freethinker due to several own experiences since 2003.

Changing topics, we have run up against the Church. Catholic Church infringes upon human rights and does not abide by the law. Women are discriminated against although it is illegal, receives money under the table in the collection plates and it has more tax benefits and grants than other organizations. It is an allowed scam as they deceive its followers with falsehood, whom it tortures, mistreats and threatens to doom to hell if they do not do what the Church commands. Catholic Church is the biggest mafia in the history of humanity, but it creates personal irresponsibility, submission and obedience, which are the three features that their leaders require to take advantage of the masses; this is why the Spanish government finances Catholic Church activities despite being a secular state and that most Spaniards are against it. The three previous characteristics have led to decay all social organization systems that have existed.

A European Union study has concluded that corruption is higher in Catholic countries because Catholic Church has never penalised corruption offenders and this has made it culturally acceptable. There is no denying Catholic countries are the most corrupt among developed economies.

Political corruption is wicked because it is detrimental to population

In this situation of institutional and social deterioration, all the Spaniards can institutionally do is to resort to the European Union to resolve this situation. Abusecracy has also reached European Union agencies and corruption is the norm, but it is also true that at least they are concerned about eliminating corruption of its members so it does not destroy the European Union, mainly after the public debt crisis that has cost a lot of money and has put the survival of the euro at risk. In these years, the European Breed has known the extension of corruption and it is taking steps to reduce it.

In January 2014, protests in Gamonal district (Burgos) lasted until the Mayor put a halt on the works. They stayed for four consecutive days, nights included, to protest against the construction of a boulevard and underground parking which would significantly harm citizens. It has been globally published, showing an interest to point out the malfunctioning of Spanish society. It is most likely the Mayor wanted the works to pocket his commission and afterwards assure income for him with the renting of parking spaces. That is how Spain works: The Breed dedicates to plunder it regardless of their constituents' interests and rights. Demonstrations spread across the country showing people's discontent, and they were violently suppressed by the police. Some demonstrators declared that they have lost fear and that anything can happen. It is most likely a civil disturbance may occur.

Facing this people's reaction, abusecrats tell each other: let's rob and rob because the free ride might come to an end.

During the years of crisis, European Union leaders have seen that Spanish politicians usually approve laws that infringe upon human rights. This illegality is commonly named "low legislative quality". Furthermore, they also confirmed with the labour market reform in 2012 that they do not know how to resolve problems, and that they create new ones.

World press has highlighted in recent years scandals that prove institutional corruption: an Infanta from the royal family and her husband, senior officials of PSOE, PP and granted major unions (CCOO and UGT), former senior government officials who go over to private corporates favoured during their administration and so on and so forth. World press is also aware that this systemic corruption is caused by court impunity because of the malfunction of the judiciary branch due to its political orientation. Therefore, you already know that Spain is a systematically corrupt country; a situation shown in the assessment of institutional corruption report dated January 15th, 2014 that also proposes recommendations to control it. That same day (January 15th, 2014) the European Commissioner for Regional Policy cancelled 375,000 euros in training aid managed by UGT. Previously, the European Union had forced Spain to return aid due to not fulfilling the conditions. Institutional corruption is destroying the "brand Spain", so cited by politicians, and the possibility of receiving European funds which are undeserved because of the large scale of the informal economy that invalidates Spanish data. The European Union will end up taking measures against governments in countries such as Spain so they cease to deceive their European partners with falsehood in their economic information.

The leaders who monitor both political parties taking turns in government choose all senior officials at all public levels, thus controlling the entire public sector activity.

Electoral control does not work in Spain because Spaniards are very dogmatic and because the two major parties have made an image of themselves in the two traditional poles, left-wing and right-wing, but then they make decisions opposite to their alleged ideologies. Although this is changing and a culture that joins them up as looters of the system is emerging and engulfing them as PPSOE (PP + PSOE) as if they were a single political party. Moreover, there are graffiti all across Spain with the text: PPSOE = Corruption. On the other hand, both parties are seeing splits that are forming new parties. Currently there are alternatives to each party

and PPSOE voters are decreasing in number and some people even state that PP and PSOE will disappear.

6.- The end of the European dream. The decline of the welfare state.

We have seen along history the collapse and disappearing of all systems of social organization. The common feature to all of them was the social hierarchical structuring forcibly imposed by a minority that ruled over a majority. Probably representative democracies are the last system based on the power of a minority that takes up the top of the ladder. But it will disappear as previous empires and realms have.

To those who don't believe it, just remember that absolute monarchies and the power of the clergy in Catholic Church were eliminated during the transition from Agricultural Age to Industrial Age; therefore, it is logical to think that democracies that dominated Industrial Age will disappear in the current transitional period towards Information Age. Furthermore, representative democracies are self-destructive systems. They also may think about the message that has made a deep impression in the population as a reaction to the Great International Crisis of 2008, referring to The Breed: "They do not represent us".

Representative democracy has two historical cornerstones. The first is the tradition of the violent power of a minority to arrange a society; violent because it was a warlike power (it set a precedent to military power) from the beginning and for millennia. The second was the incapacity of the masses for self-organization. This overall situation of lack of personal and social responsibility of the majority causes a cultural corruption from which derives an increase of power of the ruling minorities and an increase of social parasitism in leaders and subjects alike. These two issues create a spiral of social inequality, a decrease in productivity and standard of living that destroys any society.

This spiral of decadence raises a certain contempt in the rulers towards the subjects that is growing as the latter demand solutions to all their problems, especially under the concept of welfare state. They even demand solutions for problems created by the rulers themselves, sometimes for of their incompetence and sometimes due to their bastard interests, or for a wrong social structure. This contempt towards the subjects, together with the greed and thirst of power, are the foundations of every corruption of the ruling minorities.

In addition to this corruption background, the growth of the public sector invades more and more people's private life and their economy, while increasing social complexity. This complexity makes decisions less and less wise, but an increase in the dependence of the subjects to their rulers derives from this state meddling.

Representative democracy has failed because it is heir to the history of power, of a hierarchical structured society with an almighty leadership and because the masses do not know how to choose their representatives.

Abusecracy is more visible in Latin-European countries, where the International Crisis of 2008 has shown that they elect rulers that have led them to ruin, being many of them accused and even convicted criminals. An European study stated that corruption is higher in Catholic countries because the Catholic Church has never penalised corruption, unlike the Protestant Church.

In this sense, the examples are clear: in Italy, where the European Union had to remove a President democratically elected by the Italians and replace him for another person who guaranteed the survival of the system; in Iceland, where people took their President to court; in Spain, where the President that led the country to its largest ruin in history was re-elected;

in Belgium, where they have been without President for most part of the term because parties cannot reach an agreement, questioning the need for a government; in USA, where a war criminal was elected. Furthermore, it is usual that when a politician resigns, he hand picks his successor thus breaking democratic legitimacy.

But let us think about the system that was the role model worldwide during the 20th century and that it is currently rejected by all, although it has contributed to great social advances which are still copied: the welfare state.

Intellectually, the concept “welfare state” is an aberration and hence its results: the destruction of European societies that introduced it. Logically, the majority -or most- of us want collective progress and an individual wellness that reaches the majority or all the population. However, this concept implies that the State must take care of people’s wellness. And this is an aberration for three main reasons: 1) it is impossible that the state provides wellness to all the population and, therefore, it is a malicious scam done by social engineering or manipulation; 2) this situation provides unlimited power to the State at the expense of freedom and responsibility of individuals for their wellness; 3) most salaries, goods and services that contribute to our wellness are supplied by the private business sector.

It is precisely the power that the welfare state provides to politicians that makes these to use it as their main message. All other powers, specially the media, also defend the welfare state for their own interests which consist in taking advantage of the inevitable social destruction created by the welfare state when it grows excessively, so that the majority -frightened when seeing that the future will deteriorate its present- become addicted to bad news -as it is happening with the crisis- and seek answers from those who express their opinion in the media.

This is not a criticism to the globalisation of certain services or to the fact that we all pay for them, but for leaving all these means in the hands of The Breed, an unreliable group with its own interests which prevail over general interest, as seen previously.

For all these reasons, the welfare state is conceptually as wicked and despicable as socially destructive in reality, as it is proven by the European evolution. Welfare state is as undesirable as welfare society is desirable.

On the other hand, it is a fact that the welfare state, as seen above, began to dismantle in those countries with a deeper implementation such as Sweden, where most public services have been privatised since beginning of the 90’s, accepting that private sectors do better. An European Union report that studied the consequences of 10 years of privatisations contributed to it by concluding that privatisations had created more jobs, had improved the quality of existing services and had created new services. This report is available in the European Union website.

Sweden does not even question now who should provide basic services (education and healthcare), whether it should be public or private sector (business and social). For each matter, it studies the actual results without prejudice and decides who does better. On the contrary, an ideological violence has unleashed in Spain to defend the terrible public education (more expensive that subsidised private education and with worse results) and the dreadful public health care. This matter has also been taken to court, expecting that the judges decide upon it. Opponents to privatisation are partly right because, as a rule, politicians who carry it out favour friends or whoever pays a higher commission, preferably in tax havens.

Cuts in spending as a result of the Great International Crisis of 2008 in all countries where certain welfare state exists made this “European dream” to fade away, as it happened to the “American dream”.

In that regards, the new King of the Netherlands (formerly Holland) stated the following in his first speech as king in 2013: “The classical Welfare State is slowly but surely evolving into a participatory society”. On one hand, it substitutes state for society which is the great revolution we are performing during this transition to the Information Age. On the other, it points out that people must participate more actively in the collective progress that has been left in the hands of The Breed with the detrimental results that are plain for all to see.

The monarch said that everyone should “take responsibility for their life and those surrounding themselves” in any way they can. Precisely the main reason of failure of the welfare state is that, being the state responsible for people’s wellness, the majority forgets that everyone’s life is their own responsibility.

He also declared: “The transition towards a participative society is especially relevant for social security and for people in need of a long term attention. The welfare state, traditional in the second half of the 20th century, was just created in systems of these areas that cannot hold any longer in their present form”. The Pork-barrel State was responsible for this, as seen above.

These words of the monarch are very meaningful due to the fact that he was crowned recently, so he is a 21st century king, and because he represents a country with a more developed welfare state than most other European countries. In summary, the welfare state was the biggest scam in history, even overtaking the Catholic Church, as the latter has practically disappeared in developed countries, and the former is dying off for the past two decades.

7.-Unemployment?

Most media people (politicians, journalists, analysts and others) declare that there will be less and less jobs in developed countries and that we have to adapt to it. However, this statement does not take into account reality because never in the history of mankind have we had so much planned work to do; in particular:

- 1) Develop all countries in the world.
- 2) Replace all the synthetic material manufactured, mainly since Industrial Age, for another synthetic material environmentally healthful and not just sustainable, i.e. that harms less and less nature and human health.
- 3) Build a digital world, already started with the Internet that offers new possibilities not limited by the two material worlds: natural and synthetic.
- 4) Find a way to survive the currently inevitable end of life on Earth. The International Space Station project goes in that direction.
- 5) Build new ways of social organization.

We need more and better scientists, but most graduates in physics or chemistry work in a different field, most engineers work in something else and this also happens with all occupations. Why? For two main reasons: 1) the means used in these occupations are managed; and 2) fewer resources than necessary are used.

Why fewer resources than necessary are used? Because The Breed decides where resources go and abusecracy is the priority; for this reason perhaps we should focus on the last project mentioned above (social reorganisation) and, in addition, it is probably the one that needs more talent and workers.

Let’s not forget that unemployment benefits may be used as part of the Pork-barrel State.

8.-Final Crisis: the collapse of the Western world

Historians state that chieftainship was the dominant system in the 19th century, which originated in the European countries colonization over most territories across the world. At the end of that century, most colonies obtained independence and became the current nations, and these emerging powers turned into oligarchies. Logically, the growth of the public sector already described in terms of numbers turned it into the master of the economy and society as a whole and created the now famous oligarchy The Breed, the most powerful and destructive in history. The Breed rises in rich economies and seizes most of their wealth, so they control much more resources than any dictator or emperor of the past. The Breed politicians have become the new chieftains.

This predominance of The Breed produces the defence of their privileges as a priority instead of the typical defence of the system, as seen in Spain. The fact that the top of society gives priority to maintain its own corruption is self-destructive. But self-destruction is greater because they need the growth of the financial system to keep on using the Pork-barrel State.

This crisis has been created by the banks, which have produced more money than they legally could. To that end, they scammed financial institutions in the secondary market by selling them debts of that money they had created, which were in part invaluable. The Breed knew it but did nothing because they benefited from that apparent wealth funded by its Pork-barrel State. The Breed also tricked the whole population into believing that the economy was doing well, so their economic future would improve despite that reality was the opposite. Thereby The Breed encouraged people and corporations to go into debt beyond their possibilities. Seemingly everybody did the best out of it: everyone was happy until the credits had to be paid and it wasn't possible.

Previously, financial crisis had occurred in countries that pertain to the financial fringe, i.e. that were not rich. These crises were mainly produced by the conjunction of political interests of rich countries, interests of the international financial system and interests of The Breed in poor countries in crisis, sometimes caused by wrong decisions of their own rulers. Generally, The Breed in rich countries and the financial system benefited from these crises, although sometimes they stood to lose.

Otherwise, the U.S. Crisis of 2007 that caused **the Great International Crisis of 2008 was the first crisis originated and located in rich countries**. This novelty is alarming and shows the disorder in the financial system caused by the bastard interests of The Breed, economic bodies' and financial institutions' leaders. We have to take into account that **States**, as moneylenders to other countries, **are part of the international financial system**.

From that point, it began a downward spiral of non-payments and financial institutions failures that spread systematically onto the whole financial system and threatened to destroy the economies, or so we were told. This wasn't true: it only threatened to destroy the financial secondary market and ruin those who bought such products, including a great majority of U.S. pension funds. In fact this would have affected real economy very little as it had only destroyed savings (surplus). However, intervention with public funds really affected all of us and had an impact in real economies.

It was the biggest Ponzi scheme of the Credit society. This scam created various bubbles: financial, property, public expenditure and others that formed an unsustainable economy that burst when one of the bubbles broke out and affected the others. Primary it has been a scam to financial investors both big and small, to capitalists who were shareholders of financial institutions and have lost most of the value of their shares. Secondly it has been a scam to the majority, since some institutions have been bailed out and public expenditure has risen uncontrollably in order to keep the privileges of the system which are the privileges of the

abusecrats.

As a reaction to this crisis and mainly to maintain the Pork-barrel State, Central Banks of the United States, the United Kingdom and the Eurozone have produced enormous amounts of money, new money that reduces the value of the currency of each Central Bank, i.e. it devaluates it. Therefore, this depreciation impoverishes all those who use it and reduces the value of their wealth, their savings or their income that is nominated in that devaluated currency.

How many decades will it take for the developed economies to pay off their debts?

It cannot be known because much of that debt and the losses caused by it remain secret, sometimes on purpose and sometimes because of the impossibility to assess appropriately some assets where value exceeds the GDP of rich countries.

In this sense, George Soros, one of the main financiers and a person with great influence for his outstanding philanthropic work directed towards social progress and his economic and social knowledge, formulated his hypothesis for the super-bubble in 2009 in his book "The new paradigm for financial markets", providing the following information: "Total outstanding credit was 160 per cent of GDP in 1929 and rose to 260 per cent in 1932, owing to the accumulation of debt and the decline of GDP. We entered into the Crash of 2008 at 365 per cent and this figure is bound to rise to 500 per cent or more at the moment that it makes its full effect. And this calculation does not take into account the pervasive use of derivatives, which were absent in the 1930's but complicate the current situation immensely. **The nominal amount of outstanding CDS's contracts is over four times the GDP**".

Many of these CDS's are the famous subprime mortgages that precisely led to the expansion of these CDS's, so their collection is doubtful and their book value unrealistic, perhaps over double their real value deducting financial and property costs originated by their sale.

In 2012 the McKinsey Global Institute (MGI) published a study which is an international reference for public and private global debt. Following is data relative to the five richest economies:

Global Debt	2000	2011 *	Growth
USA	227%	279%	52%
Japan	439%	512%	73%
Germany	267%	278%	11%
France	234%	346%	112%
UK	312%	507%	195%
* Q2 2011			

It should be pointed at both ends the German economic rigor and the United Kingdom's financial disorder caused by three factors: 1) its economic collapse, 2) its status as world financial centre and, related to previous two, 3) the attempt to prevent its collapse by indebtedness making the most of its privileged position in the international financial system. For this reason the United Kingdom does not approve any European or international measure that limits its financial activity. The Eurozone is not interested in the UK adopting the euro

because it would be a source of instability that would transfer great debt and a self-destructive economy.

If we link these borrowing data to GDP growth referencing the International Monetary Fund (IMF) as the most reliable source for GDP, we obtain a significant difference:

	2000	2011 *	Debt Growth	GDP Growth	Difference in growth
USA	227%	279%	52%	20%	-32%
Japan	439%	512%	73%	7%	-66%
Germany	267%	278%	11%	13%	2%
France	234%	346%	112%	14%	-98%
UK	312%	507%	195%	19%	-176%
* Q2 2011					

According to the IMF data, the U.S. GDP was 12,565.175 billion US dollars in 2000 and grew up to 15,052.375 billion US dollars in 2012, representing an increase of 19.79% which divided into those 11 years **would mean that GDP has grown 1.80% as an average**, although reality is slightly lower as yearly growth as not been considered but it is a very approximate percentage.

The cost of debt in these five economies is much higher than GDP growth. It can be noticed in the table above that German and US global debts were similar, according to official data. The afore mentioned IMF study advised that public debt in these two countries was also similar: 83% in Germany and 80% in the US. Bearing in mind that both countries' private debtors will be paying over 2% annual rate for their debt, this amount would be close to 4% of GDP, which doubles GDP growth, and we would have to add the interests of an increasing public debt. A part of GDP growth was produced by real wealth caused by indebtedness, but debt interests are greater than the wealth obtained.

Therefore, the idea of the State spending to stem the crisis is self-defeating: it generates much more interests than the growth achieved. Precisely, governments that spent too much had to cut public expenditure to save the country from bankruptcy. The Breed usually has corrected with cuts that affect the majority instead of changing the industrial socio-economic structure which is broken apart.

Let's go back to the real value of mortgage loans contained in each CDS which exceeded 400% of U.S. GDP. How much money of these mortgages will be recouped? If 75% is recovered, 100% of GDP will be lost, but we are seeing that losses caused by the crisis usually bring about higher rates.

We find that most likely GDP has decreased and the debt is irrecoverable. If these two elements were true, it would show that US economy has started to decline, being its socio-economic structure unstable because it is unjust. Many renowned economists have made this statement since decades; precisely in the decades in which creative bookkeeping had been applied to corporate business and the public sector itself, enabling it to alter the economic reality.

The economic assumptions carried out based on actual data do not intend to perform an

accurate study as it is impossible due to the falsehood and opacity of the system, but they may be useful to realise the true collapse of rich economies. In fact, they show the actual situation more than official data as most of us notice in our private and professional environment.

Actually, the breaking of the system had happened much earlier, although four circumstances that concealed the economic collapse should be pointed out: the integration of women into the workforce since the 1960's decade; the marked globalisation since the 1980's decade, especially towards emerging economies; a creative bookkeeping carried out in those years and the disorder in the financial derivative instruments, likely as a political and financial reaction to the crisis of 2001.

Main transnational economic bodies have been publishing for several years quite a number of studies of banks' situation and each of them worsens previous information. In the beginning The Breed ordered to conceal the state of affairs, until it became unsustainable between 2010 and 2012; since then, technicians try to make proper assessments but the consequences of the bubble and widespread distrust make it not possible and they must correct them with each new assessment.

After numerous tests and aid to European financial institutions, problems were supposed to be fixed. However, facing the imminent unification of banking supervision within the Eurozone in November 2013, new analyses are expected. The European School of Management and Technology in Berlin and the University of New York have estimated that the European banking system has a working capital deficit of 767 thousand million euros, i.e. around one trillion US dollars, being French and then German banks those that need more money. The Spanish banking system has already received European aid and now needs another 92 thousand million euros. And the necessities will grow so it is expected that the European Central Bank will be rigorous and update its requirements as new information continues to increase necessities.

According to the OECD study "Looking to 2060: Long-term global growth prospects" published in November 2012, which included the estimated growth rate of the 42 countries surveyed, Germany and Luxembourg will grow the least at an average of 1.1% while the estimated average of the 42 will be 1.8%. If this forecast comes to pass and German economy comparatively decreases, what will happen to the European Union?

The situation in other countries is also critical. Denmark, Ireland and the Netherlands debt to GDP has exceeded 400% in 2012. The Wall Street Journal published in 2013 that global debt to GDP reached 313%, which chiefly corresponds to richer or less poor countries.

Present indebtedness is irrecoverable, although it would be unjust to pay it fully. Logically if a higher interest rate is charged to some customers, including governments, is because the non-payment risk is lower and, therefore, the risk of default is taken. Those over-indebted states must negotiate a debt reduction based on the excess of interest rate or risk premium they have been charged and which is intended to continue being charged. These are the true market rules.

Let's take the Greek example. Greek public debt was negotiated in 2012 and it was agreed a reduction or relief above 50%, i.e. the financial institutions that were due forgave over half the debt -around a 53.5%- although the creditors lost even more because of the loss of debt value. Will all countries negotiate a partial debt reduction? After all it is fair for countries that are paying a higher interest rate. If they are paying more interest rate is due to the failure risk that loaners take. Therefore, it is unjust that they pay the full debt so lenders earn more money: that is called usury.

Remember that The Breed is interested in the debt for several reasons: to continue increasing the Pork-barrel State to buy votes and to justify the politization of society, so the ruled demand

their assistance when problems, usually created by The Breed, occur. It is a way to perpetuate itself.

Even if the amounts owed and lost were known, the alleged recovery would depend on the private and public economic activity and political decisions, and these are impossible to predict. On the other hand, recovery would be detrimental in the intermediate and long term because it would maintain social inequality that leads us to economic and social self-destruction.

Jobs are not created; GDP growth is low, false and affects little those who are not rich, therefore: why is it said that this crisis is over? It seems obvious that politicians are attempting to maintain abusecracy -their own privileges-bytrying to delay the inexorable collapse of the system as long as possible.

Most people who are not rich are impoverishing, according to official surveys, because of the aforementioned privileges of the money owners, a capital that is destroying rich economies. Global debt has grown since Q2 2012, as everybody knows.

With this information we may understand why it is said that future generations will live a worse life than their parents: the system has broken apart.

**The system is reducing the available money for most people.
The Breed is slowing the economy**

Social exclusion and significant participants of the economy are increasing and, without buyers, sellers are unnecessary. The middle class is disappearing and it is swelling the ranks of the increasing lower class, even reaching poverty level and thus, economies mainly based in domestic consumption cannot grow.

Most people believe that present and future generations will live a worse life than their parents, without realising the wickedness of this statement. Logically, if GDP continues growing, the standard of living must raise, except in a few years of crisis. This mathematical rule can only be altered if money is worse distributed and this will highlight the failure of the State as responsible for the redistribution of wealth, which is one of the main reasons of its existence, and the economic sector with more resources: the mega-State mentioned by Drucker. But the general conduct of demanding more and better services from the State is even more self-destructive.

The collapse is getting worse with the competence from emerging economies and the increase of social inequality in rich countries. If the socio-economic structure of these societies does not change, competence from emerging economies will ruin them. And most people in our 50's will live to see the total collapse because this will happen in a few years from now.

**Most systemic financial institutions will become
bankrupt before 2025, collapsing developed economies
and ending up the dominant industrial order**

When? It will depend on political decisions to delay the inevitable collapse of the international

financial system and it will probably spark off in Germany, the United States, Japan, United Kingdom or Switzerland, depending on the decisions taken by business leaders of the systemic institutions and politicians of these countries.

9.-Conclusion

Every human organization based on the power of a minority is self-destructive and has a tendency to decline. This can be observed in major corporations across the world and, on a larger scale, has been proven by communism. At the other end, we have organizations freely created by initiative of an entrepreneur that succeed because beneficiaries of their goods or services acquire or support them freely. These emerging organizations that improve what we know have, contribute to progress. If progress is higher than structural decay, they are considered societies that progress. This is true as long as social destruction produced by the existing system leaves room for innovation and does not destroy society entirely.

The largest concentration of resources and power in history in the public sector of current developed societies has caused that the rest of powers seek alliances with The Breed, creating a network of oligarchies that have fully corrupted Western societies and have collapsed their economies, two circumstances that have always destroyed previous systems.

In fact, abusecracy has destroyed all present political systems, including representative democracies and dictatorships. Abusecracy resembles the collapse of the Roman Empire, where leaders were corrupt and depraved.

The old industrial order has broken apart; The Breed insists on enduring it to keep on taking advantage of it, slowing the economies of rich countries and increasing the extent of the inevitable bankruptcy and its consequences. We have reached a point where most people know that The Breed is the problem and not part of the solution, so they have decided to act against politicians who do not react. Therefore, it is more and more likely that the intensity of protests increases and ends up in civil disturbances as those that substituted absolute monarchies for an industrial order.

But, as it happened in the past, social inequality produced by dominant systems caused that a few alternative minorities created new systems that, slowly or in a revolutionary way, substituted the established system.

The current emerging system that will replace abusecracy is the topic for the second part of this document.

10.-Ideas to seek information and reflect

Surplus society	Fiscal State
Consumer society	Pork-barrel State
Credit society	Subsidized society
People's capitalism	Public opacity
Political economy	Multipolar international system
Counterculture	The Breed (politicians)
Mega-State	Systemic corruption
Free society	Malfunction of the rule of law

Yes, we can!

Other world is possible!

(and necessary)

The times they are a-changin' (Bob Dylan, 1964)

(Part 2 suggests a new social structure and a Different World Order)

www.thewaytochangetheworld.info

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Javier Marzal is a Neutral writer (individual - organizations – humanity), conceptual builder of The New Conscience, The New Worldwide Order and The New Management, inherent in the Actual Information Age.

INTELLECTUAL WORK 2016: "A Different World Order. Part 2 of 2. Emerging system of the Information Age. From "abusecrazy" to a Social Market Democracy. A world of organizations" (44 pages, available in Spanish, English and soon, also in German).

FORMER INTELLECTUAL WORK: Related to talent (book and subsequent three pages essay) and to the New Management that Peter Druker announced "people centric", in particular, articles based on my own vision of talent: www.nuevomanagement.com (from 2007, in spanish). More in www.javiermarzal.com.

SOCIAL WORK:

Promotion and foundation in September, 15th 2014, of the Association of the Rule of Law Professionals' Victims (AVIPED), of which I am the chairman, and the purpose of which, is to end with the impunity of these professionals' regular criminality. www.anviped.org.es (available in Spanish, English and German). Help and advice to judicial victims, providing relevant innovations. Manifesto about judicial corruption is outstanding. (available in Spanish, English and German). "The origin of corruption is in the judicial power". (Spanish judge Elpidio Sanchez, 2013).

Promotion and foundation in February, 16th 2015, together with other associations, of the Federation for the Rule of Law, of which I am the chairman, and the purpose of which, together with other ways, is to end with the judicial system's impunity. Promotes the adoption of the United Nations Convention against Corruption in Spain and the adoption of legislative changes to avoid the current legislative promotion of institutional crime www.frd.org.es.

The creation of the international movement "STOP judicial corruption", which enlightens people, worldwide, about the existence of judicial corruption. The creation of associations of victims, promoting them and impelling the creation of new ones. www.stopjudicialcorruption.org.

Promotion and foundation in September, 30th 2016, of the International Association to Change the World, of which I am the chairman, and the purpose of which is to change the establishment. www.iachangetheworld.com

More information in www.javiermarzal.com